Anthracnose is the most important fruit disease of olive (*Olea europaea*) and is widespread in many olive-growing regions of the world, including southern Spain (20). Olive anthracnose is caused by two species of *Colletotrichum*, *Colletotrichum acutatum* J. H. Simmonds and *C. gloeosporioides* (Penz.) Penz. & Sacc. (16,29). In Portugal and Spain, *C. acutatum* is the dominant species and *C. gloeosporioides* occurs infrequently (16,23,29). Subsequent to the research cited previously in this paragraph, *C. acutatum* was reclassified as *C. simmondsii*, *C. fioriniae*, and *C. acutatum* sensu stricto (26). The telemorphs of *C. acutatum* and *C. gloeosporioides* are known but have never been observed in olive orchards in southern Spain.

The pathogens mainly attack olive fruit, causing a typical rot called “soapy fruit” (7,18). In Spain, *C. acutatum* produces toxins in the rotten fruit that cause leaf wilting and branch dieback several weeks after plants exhibit soapy fruit symptoms (20). In years when the disease is severe, anthracnose reduces yields by an average of 6% in Spain (7) and by up to 50% in other countries (4,11,28,29). Field observations in southern Spain indicated that the disease is particularly severe in orchards that are densely planted with susceptible olive cultivars, although the effect of plant density has not been studied (31).

Much research has been conducted on olive anthracnose (16,18,20,29) but little information exists on the effects of environment on disease epidemics. In addition to temperature and fruit maturity, rainfall is probably a critical factor in most olive anthracnose epidemics (18,22,28). Most of this research has been done in the field, and information on the precise effects of environmental variables on olive anthracnose under controlled conditions is scarce (11,22,28). This information is required to understand and control many foliar pathogens, and is essential for the development of an infection submodel, a critical component in disease forecasting (14). For most fungal pathogens in most terrestrial environments, infection is usually limited by the duration of surface wetness or high humidity and temperature (5).

High production costs, especially for harvest, have resulted in the redesign of olive orchards, increasing plant density during the last 30 years. Traditional olive orchards in Spain had a relatively low density of trees (70 to 80 trees/ha and 2 to 3 trunks/tree). Modern olive oil producers are planting trees (1 trunk/tree) at 200 to 400 trees/ha in high-density systems. In the last 15 years, planting density has reached 2,000 trees/ha in superhigh-density systems in which the trees form hedgerows (2,24). These increased tree densities may favor the development of epidemics of airborne pathogens by increasing the duration of wetness on the leaves and fruit.

Knowledge of environmental and agronomic influences on disease development is important for the proper timing of fungicide applications for control of olive anthracnose. Precise information could aid in developing models that predict the development of epidemics during the autumn. Thus, the objectives of this work were to determine the influence of temperature and wetness duration on fruit infection by *Colletotrichum* spp., and to determine the effect of tree density on the incidence of affected fruit.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fruit, potted plants, and stem cuttings.** Three commercial olive cultivars with different degrees of resistance to anthracnose (21) were used: ‘Hojiblanca’ (susceptible), ‘Arbequina’ (moderately susceptible), and ‘Picual’ (resistant). These cultivars also differ in their time of ripeness, having been classified as late, medium, and early, respectively (21,25). Olive fruit were collected from healthy
field-grown trees in the provinces of Córdoba and Jaén (Andalusian region, southern Spain). Depending on the experiment and trial within experiment (these are described later in the Methods), the fruit used were green, green-yellow, or black with values of 0, 1, or 4, respectively, according to the 0 to 4 ripening index (25). Olive fruit were washed in tap water, surface sterilized in a 10% solution of commercial bleach (50 g of Cl/liter) for 1 min, rinsed with sterile water, and air dried on a laboratory bench. Disinfested fruit were placed in plastic containers and stored at 4°C until used (within 7 days). Disinfested fruit were preconditioned at room temperature (23 ± 2°C) for 4 to 6 h before inoculation with isolates of Colletotrichum (18). In the wetness-duration experiment (experiment 2), 4-year-old potted olive plants of Hojiblanca and 2-year-old plants of Arbequina from a commercial nursery were used in trials 1 and 2, respectively. The age difference between the cultivars was due to the shorter nonproductive period for Arbequina than for Hojiblanca (2,25). Plants were grown in natural soil in 7-liter plastic containers until they were used in experiments. Plants were fertilized once (7 g of P₂O₅ + 2 g of KNO₃ + 1 g of CaCO₃ + 1 g of MgSO₄ + 0.6 g of MgCO₃ per plant) and irrigated as needed. In trial 3 of the wetness-duration experiment, 30- to 40-cm pieces of stem with 5 to 15 olive fruit were cut from healthy field-grown trees of Arbequina in Córdoba. The stems were planted in moist, sterilized perlite in plastic boxes (60 by 40 by 20 cm) to prevent dehydration of fruit before inoculation.

Fungal isolates. Isolates Col-09, Col-30, Col-87, and Col-104 were obtained from fruit on diseased olive trees from different orchards in the Andalusian region. The selected isolates represented the range of morphological characteristics typical of olive anthracnose pathogens. Isolates Col-09 and Col-30 had morphological characteristics similar to those of C. acutatum and C. gloeosporioides, respectively. Isolates Col-87 and Col-104, in contrast, exhibited morphological and physiological characteristics intermediate between these species (23). All isolates were identified as C. acutatum-group A4 by their internal transcribed spacer 5.8S and β-tubulin regions (29); C. acutatum-group A4 is the dominant group in central Andalusia (J. Moral and A. Trapero, unpublished data). The Italian isolate Col-116 (Monte Falco, Perugia region, Italy) was also used in some experiments. Based on molecular data, this isolate was identified as C. acutatum-group A2, which is prevalent in Portugal (29); however, C. acutatum-group A2 has been subsequently reclassified as C. simmondsii (26). Single-spore isolates were cultured in petri dishes containing potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Difco Laboratories, Detroit) acidified with lactic acid (25% [vol/vol] at 2.5% w/v) for 1 week. To ensure that the conidia used were viable, we measured the germination of each batch of inoculum before inoculation. The density of conidia in the inoculum was measured with a hemacytometer and was then diluted to 10⁷ conidia/ml.

Inoculation and incubation. Detached fruit and plants were sprayed to incipient runoff with the conidial suspension using an electric sprayer (Black & Decker 58-102). Noninoculated controls for detached fruit or plants were sprayed with distilled water plus 0.02% Tween 20.

Incubation treatments differed among experiments. Detached olives (experiment 1) were maintained in humid (100% relative humidity [RH]) plastic containers (22 by 16 by 10 cm³) that were placed in growth chambers at different temperatures in the dark until the end of experiment. In general, plants and stem cuttings (experiment 2) were incubated during the “wetness period” in moist chambers that consisted of closed, dark-plastic boxes containing plastic pans filled with water to provide 100% RH. After the wetness period, inoculated plants and stem cuttings were dried at room temperature (22 ± 2°C). The stem cuttings were then transferred to a growth chamber at 20°C for 4 days in the dark and then in fluorescent light with a 14-h photoperiod and 50 to 90% RH. The plants were transferred to the greenhouse at 15 to 30°C and RH <70% after the wetness period.

Effect of temperature on infection of detached fruit (experiment 1). Trial 1. Ripe, black fruit (ripening index value of 4) of Hojiblanca were inoculated with isolates Col-09 and Col-30 and kept in humid chambers. The humid chambers were placed in darkened growth chambers at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35°C. A disease severity index (DSI) was recorded at 7, 10, and 14 days after inoculation. The DSI was calculated for each replicate with the formula DSI = (ΣNi × s)/N, where s represents severity (0 to 5), Ni is the number of fruit with the severity of s, and N is the total number of fruit (18). Rating scale values were 0 = no visible symptoms; 1 = visible symptoms affecting <25% of the fruit surface; and 2 = 25 to 50%, 3 = 50 to 75%, 4 = 75 to 100%, and 5 = fruit completely rotted, with abundant conidia in a gelatinous matrix (soapy fruit) (18). There were three replicate humid chambers (25 fruit/chamber) for each combination of isolate and temperature and the experiment was conducted three times. A split-plot design was used with experiment runs as blocks, temperature as main plot, isolate as subplot, and humid chambers as replications.

Trial 2. Green-yellow fruit (ripening index value of 1) of Hojiblanca and Picual were inoculated with isolates Col-104 and Col-116 and placed in humid chambers as described above. The DSI was recorded weekly for 6 weeks. For each temperature, DSI values were regressed against time with the formula $DSI = b \times t$, where $t$ = time in days and $b$ = symptom development rate (day⁻¹). For each temperature, a mean latent period (MLP) was calculated with the following formula:

$$MLP = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (t_i - t_{avg}) \times I_i}{I_{max}}$$

where $\Delta I = I_{tavg} - I_t$ is the increase in the percentage of symptomatic fruit at each interval, $t_{avg} = (t_{i-1} + t_i)/2$ is the average number of days for each time interval, and $I_{max}$ is the percentage of symptomatic fruit for each temperature at the maximum incubation period (6 weeks). Each isolate–temperature combination was represented by three humid chambers and the experiment was conducted three times. For each cultivar, a randomized complete block design was used with experiment runs as blocks, temperature as the independent variable, and humid chambers as replications.

Trial 3. This trial was identical to trial 2 except that, after inoculation, the fruit were incubated at the optimum temperature of 20°C for 48 h to ensure infection and then incubated at final temperatures of 5 to 35°C as described above.

Effect of wetness duration on infection of attached fruit (experiment 2). Trial 1. Four-year-old olive plants of Hojiblanca with green fruit (ripening index value of 0) were inoculated with isolate Col-87 as described above during September. The plants were placed in moist chambers in darkened growth chambers at 20°C. Plants were subjected to 0-, 6-, 12-, 24-, or 48-h wetness periods. At the end of the wetness period, plants were moved to the greenhouse as described above. Additionally, three inoculated plants were dried immediately after inoculation at 22°C for 48 h and transferred to moist chambers for 48 h before they were transferred to the greenhouse to serve as a 48-h-dry plus 48-h-wetness-period treatment. DSI was recorded weekly for 4 months. For each wetness period and replication, the relative area under the disease progress curve was calculated by trapezoidal integration of DSI values over time, expressed as a percentage of a maximum theoretical curve in which all fruit reached the severity value 5 1 week after inoculation (18). Five plants were subjected to each wetness period and the experiment was conducted two times. A randomized complete block design was used with experiment runs as blocks, wetness period as the independent variable, and olive plants as replications.
Trial 2. Two-year-old plants of Arbequina with 20 to 25 green-yellow fruit (value 1 in the ripening index) were inoculated in November with isolate Col-87. Plants were subjected to 0-, 1.5-, 3-, 6-, 12-, 24-, or 48-h wetness periods and incubated as described above. Fruit were collected 6 weeks after inoculation because most did not show any anthracnose symptoms. The percentage of fruit with latent infections of C. acutatum was determined using the herbicide N,N′-dimethyl-4,4′-bipyrindium dichloride (Paratex). For each sample, fruit were washed with sterile-distilled water for 30 min, surface disinfested by successive immersion in 70% ethanol for 2 min and in a 20% solution of commercial bleach (50 g of Cl/liter) in sterile water for 7 min, rinsed with sterile water, and dipped in Paratex (Paratex, 200 g/liter; Aragonesas Agro S.A., Madrid, Spain) at 2.9 g/liter of water for 1 min (20). The herbicide killed the fruit cells and thereby permitted rapid colonization by latent fungal propagules. Treated fruit were incubated at 22 ± 2°C in humid chambers in the dark for 2 weeks and then fruit with anthracnose symptoms were counted. Five plants were subjected to each wetness period and the experiment was conducted two times. A randomized complete block design was used with experiment runs as blocks, wetness period as the independent variable, and olive plants as replications.

Trial 3. Because the fruit from noninoculated (control) potted plants in trial 2 of this experiment showed latent infection by the pathogen, the trial was repeated using stem cuttings from healthy trees of Arbequina with green-yellow fruit. The same isolates and wetness-duration treatments as those utilized in trial 2 were used. The inoculated stem cuttings were incubated at 23 ± 2°C and 50 to 90% RH with a 12-h photoperiod of fluorescent light (350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2 weeks. Paraquat was used again to evaluate the percentage of infected fruit. Five stem cuttings were subjected to each wetness period and the experiment was conducted two times. A randomized complete block design was used with experiment runs as blocks, wetness period as the independent variable, and olive plants as replications.

Effect of planting density on incidence of affected fruit (experiment 3). Experiment 3 was conducted in a research orchard of Arbequina located in Córdoba province (latitude: 37°50′N, longitude: 4°51′W, 91 m above mean sea level). The orchard, which belongs to the Andalusian Institute for Research and Formation in Agriculture and Fishery, is located near the Guadalquivir River in a humid area where anthracnose is an endemic disease. Trees were planted in 1999 at four densities: 3.5 m between rows and 1.5 m within rows (1,904 trees/ha), 3.5 by 3.5 m (816 trees/ha), 3.5 by 7.0 m (408 trees/ha), or 7.0 by 7.0 m (216 trees/ha). Each treatment was replicated three times and the experiment was conducted two times. A randomized complete block design was used with experiment runs as blocks, wetness period as the independent variable, and olive plants as replications.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on disease incidence and severity data depending on the design of each experiment. All experiments and trials were repeated at least once, and data from replications of each experiment or trial were combined after checking for homogeneity of the experimental error variances by the F test (two variances) or by the χ² test (more than two variances). General ANOVAs were applied to the pooled data using experimental runs as blocks. Treatment means were compared by Fisher’s protected least significant difference at P = 0.05 for experiment 3 with categorical independent variables, whereas polynomial contrasts were used for quantitative independent variables (experiments 1 and 2). These polynomials were selected for subsequent regression analyses. In all experiments, data were tested for normality, homogeneity of variances, and pattern of residuals, which proved their suitability for the statistical analysis without data transformations. In the three trials of experiment 1, the two cultivars were studied independently because they differ in susceptibility to anthracnose (21).

Regression analyses were applied to the pooled means of all independent quantitative variables. Various linear and nonlinear regression models were evaluated for describing the relationship between disease severity and temperature. The models tested were the generalized Analytis β model (9), the Schödter angular model (9), and several second- or third-order polynomial equations based on results of ANOVA analysis. The Analytis β model (9) was selected because it provided a good fit for all combinations of isolates and cultivars and because it has been used with other olive pathogens (32). The Analytis β model uses the following equation:

\[ Y = k \times t^a (1 - t)^b \]  

in which \( Y \) = standardized disease severity \( (Y = S/S_{\text{max}}) \), \( t \) = standardized temperature \( [(t - T_{\text{min}})/(T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}})] \); and \( a \) and \( b \) are unknown parameters. \( T_{\text{min}} \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \) were the minimum and maximum temperatures for infection. Linear regression was applied to test the relationship between data estimated by nonlinear regression and observed data. Parabolic curves \( (Y = a + bX + cX^2) \) were fitted to the values MLP (day) versus temperature for each isolate, and the optimum temperatures were calculated in the fitted equations. Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between wetness duration and the percentage of symptomatic fruit (with logarithmic transformation). When the fruit from noninoculated control plants from a commercial nursery showed quiescent infection, the mean value of infections among these controls was subtracted from the mean value on fruit from inoculated olive plants. In all cases, the best regression model was chosen from many combinations of terms based on the significance of the estimated parameters \( (P \leq 0.05) \), Mallow’s \( C_p \) statistic, Akaike’s information criterion modified for small data sets, the coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \), \( R^2 \) adjusted for degrees of freedom \( (R^2_\text{adj}) \), and the pattern of residuals over predicted and independent variables.

The results from the wetness-duration and temperature trials were used to develop an infection model according to Magarey et al. (15). The model of Magarey et al. (15) was used because we could not simultaneously control temperature and wetness with potted plants; the potted plants used in experiment 2 were too large for conventional temperature-controlled growth chambers.

According to the infection model of Magarey et al. (15), the wetness-duration requirement \( (W_{\text{T}_f}) \) for the minimum disease threshold at temperature \( T \) was calculated as

\[ W_{\text{T}_f} = \frac{W_{\text{min}}}{f(T_f)} \leq W_{\text{max}} \]  

where \( W_{\text{T}_f} \) = wetness-duration requirement (in hours) for the critical disease threshold at temperature \( T \); \( W_{\text{min}} \) = the minimum value of the wetness-duration requirement for the minimum disease threshold at optimum temperature, and \( f(T_f) \) = temperature response function that varies from 0 to 1; when \( f(T_f) = 0 \) then \( W_{\text{T}_f} = W_{\text{min}} \) and when \( f(T_f) = 1 \) then \( W_{\text{T}_f} = W_{\text{max}} \) (35). The temperature model uses a pathogen’s cardinal temperatures to estimate the shape parameter and the temperature response:

\[ f(T) = \left( \frac{T_{\text{max}} - T}{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{opt}}} \right) \left( \frac{T - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{opt}} - T_{\text{min}}} \right)^{(T_{\text{opt}} - T_{\text{min}})/(T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{opt}})} \]  

...
where $T$ = mean temperature ($^\circ$C) during the wetness period, $T_{\text{min}}$ = minimum temperature for infection, $T_{\text{max}}$ = maximum temperature for infection, and $T_{\text{opt}}$ = optimum temperature for infection. Two critical disease thresholds of 10 and 20% of affected fruit were selected because a relatively low incidence of diseased fruit adversely affects the quality of olive oil (6). The model prediction was calculated in MS Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Data were analyzed using SPSS Software (version 14.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago).

**RESULTS**

**Effect of temperature on infection of detached fruit (experiment 1).** **Trial 1.** Both *C. acutatum* isolates (Col-09 and Col-30) caused anthracnose symptoms on black olive fruit of Hojiblanca at temperatures of 10 to 30°C. The first symptoms on the fruit were observed 4 days after inoculation. Inoculated fruit incubated at <25°C generally developed soapy rot symptoms with abundant production of conidia in a gelatinous matrix. However, when the fruit were incubated at 25 or 30°C, the main symptom was fruit mummification. None of the noninoculated fruit developed anthracnose symptoms. The effect of isolate and the interaction between isolate and temperature on disease severity were highly significant ($P < 0.0001$). Isolate Col-09 was significantly ($P = 0.0275$) more virulent than Col-30 at 30°C. The fitted Analytis $\beta$ models (Table 1) for each isolate are illustrated in Figure 1. All estimated parameters were significantly $> 0.0$ ($P < 0.0001$), $R^2$ and $R^2_a$ were $> 0.85$, and the standardized residuals were randomly distributed over predicted $Y$ and $t$ for each isolate. There were significant ($P < 0.0215$) differences between the predicted temperatures of maximum disease severities, which were 24.1 and 20.2°C for isolates Col-09 and Col-30, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 1).

**Trial 2.** Green-yellow olive fruit were susceptible to *C. acutatum* isolate Col-104 and *C. simmondsii* isolate Col-116. The first anthracnose symptoms were observed on fruit of Picual and Hojiblanca inoculated with *C. acutatum* at 14 and 21 days after inoculation, respectively. Both fungal species infected fruit at 10 to 25°C. In addition, some fruit of Picual that were inoculated with *C. acutatum* developed disease symptoms at 5°C, and *C. simmondsii* isolate Col-116 caused symptoms on some fruit of both cultivars at 30°C (Fig. 2). For both cultivars, the effect of species, temperature, and the species–temperature interaction on disease severity were highly significant ($P < 0.001$). Isolate Col-104 was significantly ($P < 0.05$) more virulent than Col-116 at 10, 15, and 20°C on both olive cultivars. The Analytis $\beta$ model well described the relationship between temperature and disease severity (Fig. 2). For all species–cultivar combinations, $P$ was $< 0.0001$, $R^2$ and $R^2_a$ were $> 0.90$, and the standardized residuals were randomly distributed over predicted $Y$ and $t$. The predicted temperature of maximum disease severity was 17.0 to 20.4°C, depending on the combination species and cultivar (Table 1). When the latent period was studied, Col-116 was less affected by temperature than Col-104 (Fig. 2). At the optimum temperature, the MLP ranged from 19.5 days (for the combination of resistant Picual and Col-116) to 26.1 days (for the combination of susceptible Hojiblanca and Col-104). When fruit inoculated with Col-104 were incubated at 10 or 30°C, the MLP increased from 5 to 10 days.

**Trial 3.** When the inoculated fruit were pre-incubated at 20°C for 24 h, the results were similar to those in trial 2, although both isolates caused little infection on the fruit of Hojiblanca at 35°C. *C. acutatum* isolate Col-104 was more virulent on olive fruit than *C. simmondsii* isolate Col-116 at low temperatures ($<20^\circ$C) (Table 1).

**Effect of wetness duration on infection on attached fruit (experiment 2).** **Trial 1.** Typical anthracnose symptoms were observed at 8 weeks after inoculation on all treatments, which coincided with the onset of fruit ripening and change in fruit color from green to green-yellow. In general, the symptoms progressed

![Fig. 1. Effect of temperature on the relative area under the disease progress curve (RAUDPC) for black olive fruit of ‘Hojiblanca’ inoculated with two isolates of *Colletotrichum acutatum* (experiment 1). Points represent the average of nine plastic boxes of 25 fruit each. Curves represent the Analytis $\beta$ functions, $Y = k \times t^a \times (1 - t)^b$, relating the RAUDPC to temperature for each *Colletotrichum* isolate. Equations fit the observed data with $R^2 > 0.85$.](image)

**TABLE 1. Effect of temperature on disease severity on detached olive fruit inoculated with Colletotrichum spp. (experiment 1)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Ripeningb</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{opt}}$ (°C)d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Col-09</td>
<td><em>Colletotrichum acutatum</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Black (4)</td>
<td>2.265</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Col-30</td>
<td><em>C. acutatum</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Black (4)</td>
<td>8.129</td>
<td>1.354</td>
<td>1.988</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Col-104</td>
<td><em>C. acutatum</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>5.293</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Col-104</td>
<td><em>C. acutatum</em></td>
<td>Picual</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>3.446</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Col-116</td>
<td><em>C. simmondsii</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>47.839</td>
<td>3.024</td>
<td>3.354</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Col-116</td>
<td><em>C. simmondsii</em></td>
<td>Picual</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>7.531</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>2.151</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Col-104</td>
<td><em>C. acutatum</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>5.876</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Col-104</td>
<td><em>C. acutatum</em></td>
<td>Picual</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>5.710</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Col-116</td>
<td><em>C. simmondsii</em></td>
<td>Hojiblanca</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>2.118</td>
<td>2.407</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Col-116</td>
<td><em>C. simmondsii</em></td>
<td>Picual</td>
<td>Green-yellow (1)</td>
<td>2.187</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Detached fruit were inoculated with a conidial suspension and incubated at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35°C in humid chambers. In trials 1 and 2, olive fruit were incubated at the different temperatures tested after inoculation but, in trial 3, the fruit were incubated at the optimum temperature (20°C) for 48 h to ensure infection and then they were incubated at final temperatures tested.

b Fruit ripening scale from color class green (0) to black (4) according to Rallo et al. (25).

c Disease severity ($Y$) and temperature ($t$) data were adjusted to a nonlinear Analytis $\beta$ model $Y = k \times t^a \times (1 - t)^b$ (9).

d Optimum temperature for infection.
until the entire surface of the fruit was covered with the mycelia and acervuli of the pathogen. None of the fruit on noninoculated plants developed anthracnose symptoms. The pathogen caused fruit rot at all incubation periods, including the 0-h treatment. Wetness period significantly \((P < 0.0001)\) affected disease severity. There were three homogeneous groups, depending on disease severity. One group included wetness periods of 0 to 24 h and resulted in an average disease severity of \(\approx 22\%\). Other group that included the 48-h wetness period resulted in a disease severity of 58.4\%. Finally, the plants that were subjected to a 48-h dry period after inoculation and were subsequently placed at 100% RH for 48 h had a disease severity of 43.5\%.

**Trial 2.** When olive plants of Arbequina were inoculated, some fruit showed visible symptoms of anthracnose in the plant canopy 1 month after inoculation, even though most fruit showed anthracnose symptoms when they were treated with Paraquat and incubated in humid chambers. It is worth noting that \(\approx 2.5\%\) of the fruit that came from noninoculated control plants growing in commercial nurseries showed latent infection of the pathogen. The percentage of affected fruit increased log-linearly with increasing wetness duration from 0 to 48 h (Fig. 3A). The lowest wetness duration that resulted in disease development was the 0-h treatment, with an incidence of \(\approx 5\%\). However, when the wetness duration was 48 h, the disease incidence was \(\approx 50\%\).

**Trial 3.** In this trial, olive shoots of Arbequina were used to avoid natural latent infection by the pathogen. In this case, the initial symptoms of anthracnose were observed 1 week after inoculation. The percentage of affected fruit increased log-linearly, with increasing wetness duration between 0 and 48 h (Fig. 3B).

The results of the temperature and wetness experiment were used to develop an infection model for \(C.\ acutatum\) in the Andalusian region, with \(T_{\text{min}}, T_{\text{max}},\) and \(T_{\text{opt}}\) of 10, 25, and 20.4°C, respectively. At the optimum temperature, the two thresholds of 5 and 20% of affected fruit corresponded to wetness-duration periods of 1.0 and 12.2 h, respectively (Fig. 4).

**Effect of planting density on incidence of affected fruit (experiment 3).** A significant anthracnose epidemic developed in the research orchard in 2003, when trial 1 of experiment 3 was conducted. The percentage of affected fruit was 12.2 to 95.2\%. The effect of planting density on the percentages of symptomatic fruit and latent infections was highly significant \((P < 0.0001)\), whereas it had no influence \((P = 0.234)\) on total infection (i.e., the number of affected fruit plus fruit with latent infections) (Fig. 5). The percentage of affected fruit was higher \((P < 0.0001)\) in the highest-density planting (1,904 trees/ha) than with the other three planting densities, among which the percentage did not differ (Fig. 5). Consistent with this, the percentage of fruit with latent infections was significantly \((P < 0.0001)\) lower with the highest-density planting than with the other three planting densities (Fig. 5). The percentages of affected fruit and latent infections were significantly \((P < 0.05)\) affected by the height of fruit in the olive canopy in the densest planting; the percentages were higher for fruit collected from the lower canopy (1.5 m) than for fruit from the top (3 m) of the canopy (47.6 versus 26.8\%). In addition, fruit from the top of the canopy had more latent infections (53.7\%) than those from the lower canopy (26.8\%), although fruit height did not significantly affect \((P = 0.460)\) total infection (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the temperature and wetness durations that favored the development of olive anthracnose caused by \(Colletotrichum\) spp. were defined using the three main olive cultivars

---

**Fig. 2.** Effect of temperature on disease severity (symptom development rate \([\text{day}^{-1}]\)) and mean latent period (days) on green-yellow olive fruit of ‘Hojiblanca’ and ‘Picual’ inoculated with \(Colletotrichum\) acutatum (Col-104) and \(C.\ simmondsii\) (Col-116). Points represent the average of nine plastic boxes of 25 fruit each (experiment 1). Upper curves represent the Analytis \(P\) functions, \(Y = k \times e^{a \times (1 - t^b)}\), relating disease severity to temperature. Lower curves represent the parabolic functions, \(Y = a + bt + ct^2\), relating the mean latent period to temperature. Equations fit the observed data with \(R^2\) and \(R_a^2 > 0.85\).
currently planted in Spain (2) and several Colletotrichum isolates that belong to the genetic groups A2 and A4. The latter groups represent the dominant isolates in Portugal and in the central Andalusian region of southern Spain, respectively (29) (J. Moral and A. Trapero, unpublished data). Recently, C. acutatum group-A2 has been reclassified as the new species C. simmondsii (26).

In all experiments, a reproducible method was used to inoculate olive plants and detached fruit with Colletotrichum spp. (18). This method has been previously used to assess cultivar resistance (21), pathogenic characteristics of the fungus (19), and virulence groups in the cultivar–isolate interaction (34).

Some important factors that affect anthracnose disease of olive (fruit maturity, inoculum dose, and cultivar resistance) were previously investigated in the development of the method to inoculate detached fruit and olive plants with Colletotrichum spp. (18,21). In this study, some cultivars and fruit ripeness were included to have a wider response of disease severity to various temperatures and wetness durations. The infection of olive fruit...
by *Colletotrichum* spp., in the current study was influenced by temperature and wetness duration, which also influences infection in other *Colletotrichum* pathosystems (8,12,33). When wetness was not a limiting factor, inoculation of detached fruit showed that infection occurred between 5 and 30°C but the incidence of infection was low at 5 and 30°C and was dependent on fungal isolate, cultivar, and fruit ripeness. The Analytis β function was useful for describing the relationship between disease severity and temperature. According to this function, the optimum temperature for infection was 17.0 to 24.1°C, depending on isolate and fruit ripeness. This value is within the optimal range of 20 to 24°C for germination of conidia (10,23) and inoculum production from mummified olive fruit by *C. acutatum* isolates in Spain (22). Loprieno and Tenerini (13), in contrast, found an optimum temperature of 25 to 30°C for conidial germination of Italian isolates of the pathogen. Under field conditions, Kaul and Thakur (11) determined that the optimum temperature for olive leaf infection is 17 to 22°C. These differences could be due to genetic variation within and among populations of *Colletotrichum* spp. that attack olive in different geographic regions. Molecular, physiological, and phenotypic analyses have revealed substantial diversity among olive isolates of *Colletotrichum* from Italy, Portugal, and Spain (1,16,19,23,29). In the present study, at suboptimal temperatures for infection, latent periods increased substantially. Others have reported similar results with artificially inoculated olive fruit (13). This may explain why, when temperatures drop in the field during December, fruit with latent infections do not express anthracnose symptoms for several weeks (22).

In the detached-fruit experiment, anthracnose infection and development were similar for the resistant Picual and the susceptible Hojiblanca. The similar level of disease among these cultivars was probably due to the use of fruit that differed in ripeness; although the fruit color (green-yellow) indicated ripening stage 1 for both cultivars, the true ripening stage, based on the maturity of internal tissues (2), was probably higher for the more resistant Picual. This was suspected because many inoculated fruit of Picual quickly changed to a violet color (ripening stage 3). Differences between internal and external fruit ripening stages have been previously reported for other olive cultivars (2,21).

For many foliar and fruit pathogens, an infection submodel is critical for disease forecasting (14) because infection is usually limited by the duration of surface wetness or high humidity (15,17). When temperature was not a limiting factor, infections were detected at all wetness periods tested, even 0 h, although the infection at this wetness period was low. The short time that elapsed from when the plants were inoculated until they were completely dry in the 0-h treatment may have been sufficient for conidia to germinate, produce appressoria, and infect the fruit. In previous studies, conidial germination of *C. acutatum* isolates from olive was favored by an increase in the wetness duration, and >20% of the conidia germinated and formed appressoria within a 3-h wetness period (10,23). This represents a very short minimal wetness period for infection among foliar fungal pathogens. For example, the minimal wetness period for infection of olive leaves by *Fusicladium oleagineum* is 12 h (32).

In the wetness-duration experiment, disease severity increased with the wetness period from 0 to 48 h, although this relationship was less clear when potted plants with developing fruit were inoculated during September, perhaps because of the effect of ripening. This is in accordance with the observation that, although the pathogen infects the developing olive fruit, the infections remain quiescent until the onset of ripening, when fruit color changes during the fall (20). When potted plants or stem cuttings with green-yellow fruit at the onset of ripening were inoculated, the incidence of symptomatic fruit increased logarithmically with the wetness duration. Similar effects of wetness period on disease development have been described for other hosts affected by *C. acutatum* (8,33). It is interesting that, in our study, 2.5% of the fruit obtained from potted plants growing in a commercial nursery showed latent infections of the pathogen. Survival of *Colletotrichum* spp. on asymptomatic olive fruit could have implications for long-distance dissemination of the pathogen on potted plants.

Because the effects of wetness duration and temperature are interdependent, they should be considered together (8,9,32,33). Using the generated data on the wetness-duration and temperature trials, we developed an infection model according to Margarey et al. (15) for the Andalusian region. The critical disease thresholds were defined as 5 and 20% of affected fruit because olive oil from fruit with 16% affected fruit loses the top quality classification (virgin extra) according to the European Community Regulations (6). This model was selected because it can use inputs based on independent temperature and wetness-duration trials and because it successfully described the relationship between temperature and wetness on disease infection on other *Colletotrichum* hosts (15).

Unfortunately, the combined temperature and wetness-duration experiment is complicated on olive due to the large size of the adult potted plants and the inability to use detached fruit. Using preliminary data, we have found that the model is useful for describing the infection process during the high-risk months of October and November (22).

In the last decade, olive growers have tended to increase the density of trees in olive plantations from 70 to 100 trees/ha to >2,000 trees/ha (2,24). For many pathosystems, microclimatic changes resulting from higher plant densities usually create conditions more conducive for disease development (3,27). Increased plant density is likely to increase the duration of wetness on host tissues. Although the concept that growing plants in dense stands contributes to severe epidemics is an accepted axiom (3), it is supported by only limited experimental data. The current study is the first to document the effect of planting density on the development of olive anthracnose under field conditions. We observed that the percentage of affected fruit was highest in the densest plantings, although there was no difference among the planting densities on total fruit infection (symptomatic plus latent infections). This indicates that the epidemic progressed fastest in the densest plantings and that important anthracnose epidemics may develop in dense planting even with a moderately resistant cultivar such as Arbequina (21). An increase in the incidence of olive leaf spot caused by *F. oleagineum* related to an increase in planting density has also been observed in olive orchards (30).

Results of the current study relating disease incidence and severity to temperature and wetness duration may be used to develop forecasting models for olive anthracnose. These models, however, should also take into account the dominant species of the pathogen, the resistance of the cultivars, and tree density.
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